Sexual Health, 2012, **9**, 34–43 http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SH11025

Review of HIV vulnerability and condom use in central and eastern Europe

Yuri A. Amirkhanian A,B,C

Abstract. The epidemiological trend of increasing HIV incidence rates due to sexual transmission in central and eastern Europe has been documented. The current review analysed research articles that report on a wide spectrum of vulnerable populations from this world region. Studies of injection drug users, commercial sex workers, men who have sex with men, adolescents and young adults all reported inconsistent condom use. However, these patterns varied across populations and geographic areas. Populations in former Soviet countries – the most affected by HIV – also often appeared to have lower condom use rates. Intensified, comprehensive and locally tailored measures to curb sexual HIV transmission are urgently needed. Social development programs need to incorporate HIV prevention.

Additional keywords: epidemiology, commercial sex workers, injection drug users, men who have sex with men, sexually transmissible infections.

Received 16 February 2011, accepted 27 June 2011, published online 21 October 2011

Introduction

Central and eastern Europe has seen sharp increases in HIV and sexually transmissible infection (STI) rates over the past two decades. While central and southern parts of the region have only modestly been affected, former Soviet countries have seen a particularly dramatic rise in STI and HIV rates. HIV increases were first found among injection drug users (IDU) and were primarily related to their needle-sharing practices. However, the epidemic has increasingly affected the general population, and sexual HIV transmission – although not yet the predominant mode – already accounts for 42% of all new HIV cases in these countries. ¹

Epidemiology of HIV and STIs in central and eastern Europe

The region has seen overall increases in HIV and STI rates in the past two decades. Rises in STI were particularly sharp in several post-Soviet states, including Russia, Ukraine, Belarus, Moldova and Kazakhstan.² For example, the rate of syphilis soared from 4 cases per 100 000 recorded in the mid-1980s to 263 per 100 000 by the mid-1990s, a roughly 62-fold increase.³ Similar increases were found with respect to gonorrhoea, chlamydia and trichomonas.^{4,5} STI rates in these countries have recently retreated from their historic highs, but STI remain extremely prevalent. STI rate increases in other post-Soviet countries were less pronounced,² and the region's central and southern parts – with the exception of Romania – have lower STI prevalence.⁶

The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) estimated that the total number of HIV cases in the region was 1.4 million in 2009. The sharpest increases were observed in some former Soviet states including Ukraine, which has an adult HIV prevalence of 1.1%, the greatest in Europe. Although still concentrated, HIV prevalence in Ukraine, together with Russia and Estonia, all exceed the World Health Organization threshold for designating a generalised epidemic, and the quick rise in the number of newly-diagnosed sexually transmitted HIV infections is alarming. An estimated 3.7 million persons in the region are thought to be IDU. Approximately 25% are infected with HIV, and HIV prevalence among IDU in Russia and Ukraine varies between 37% and 50.3%.1 However, heterosexual HIV transmission is on the rise, with sexual partners of IDU being most affected. Increases in heterosexual transmission are also partially attributable to an overlap between IDU and commercial sex worker (CSW) populations, who may transmit HIV to their clients.

Men who have sex with men (MSM) have been especially affected by HIV in central and south-eastern parts of the region, although prevalence rates vary greatly throughout the region. Surveillance studies suggest that HIV rates among MSM range from below 5% in Russia, Albania, Croatia, Georgia and Estonia to exceptionally high HIV rates in some Ukrainian cities such as 8% in Kryviy Rig, 10% in Mykolayiv and 23% in Odessa. However, the extent to which these findings represent MSM communities in the respective countries is unclear, due

^ACenter for AIDS Intervention Research (CAIR), Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, USA.

^BInterdisciplinary Center for AIDS Research and Training (ICART), Municipal Clinical Infectious Disease Hospital named after S. P. Botkin, St Petersburg, 193167, Russia.

^CCorresponding author. Email: yuri@mcw.edu

to differences in sampling methodologies and potential limitations that may result in the under-representation of persons who may be at particularly high risk, as well as those who do not visit gay-identified venues. Other populations of particular concern are prisoners, impoverished ethnic minority groups and labour migrants.

From a demographic perspective, adolescents and young adults remain especially vulnerable across the region. For example, the greatest increase in STI rates in Russia - a 90-fold rise from the mid-1980s - occurred among teenagers.³ HIV risk among females is due to several factors that vary across subregions include trafficking, limited power to control the use of condoms, partner violence or pressure, and prevailing social norms that are intolerant of the exercise of sexual freedoms by females.^{9,10}

Transitions and barriers to HIV prevention

Central and eastern Europe has seen rapid but often uneasy transformations from communist systems to democracy and free market economies. These transformations affected social, political and economic systems. However, the pace of reform has been uneven. While most central European countries have effectively implemented economic reforms, other countries – particularly those that were part of the former Soviet Union – have faced much greater obstacles. Social hardships - such as high rates of unemployment, poverty, social instability and fatalism – still characterise this subregion. These are also factors that are globally associated with dramatically increased levels of commercial sex work, with illicit substance use and abuse, and consequently with high rates of STI and HIV. Another enabling factor was generally increased tolerance of behaviours that were socially forbidden in the Soviet-era past such as commercial or casual sex, and drug use.

Some central and eastern European countries quickly introduced and implemented public health programs including needle exchange, sex education and condom promotion. In contrast, effective measures of HIV prevention were not implemented in the timely manner in most post-Soviet countries, which still lack funding, infrastructure, trust within vulnerable community populations and political support. As a result, HIV epidemic trajectories in these subregions also differed. While HIV epidemics in countries outside of the former Soviet Union have often been well managed - and disease incidence and prevalence has increased only modestly - large-scale and rapid HIV rises have occurred in many former Soviet countries.¹¹

Large increases in STI and HIV rates in the mid- to late-1990s showed that post-Soviet public health systems were poorly prepared to mitigate the consequences of these epidemics. STI treatment was historically provided by the state. However, the reach of these services was ineffective and was certainly inadequate to cope with large rises in STI and HIV. Prevention programs for at-risk populations were almost non-existent, and the scope of public health prevention activities still remains very limited, especially in many post-Soviet republics. This was also partly due to a lack of mobilisation of the affected communities to confront HIV epidemics, limited community infrastructure and community representation in public health decision-making, and little experience and

awareness of effective prevention measures. Even now, 89% of the subregion's HIV prevention funding is not directed to the vulnerable community populations who are most affected by the disease. In addition, educational systems often were not ready to implement sex education. For example, Russia – the country that has seen the greatest rates of both STI and HIV - still prohibits school programs that provide education about personal protection against HIV and STI. 12 As a result, access to reliable information about protection related to sex or drug injection remains limited.

AIDS prevention non-governmental organisations (NGO) in central and eastern Europe often function under difficult circumstances. A region-wide NGO survey found that the most frequently cited barriers to effective functioning and serving their communities are lack of funding, governmental indifference or opposition, AIDS-related stigma, low HIV risk perception by communities and the presence of other pressing severe social problems such as war and poverty that make concerns about HIV and STI less salient than everyday social hardships. 13 In general, AIDS service providers had only limited capacity and resources to carry out HIV prevention programs on a wide scale to vulnerable populations in their communities. However, recent stories of successful practices and developments are encouraging.¹⁴ Under the aegis of the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria, NGO in several countries – such as Ukraine, Georgia and Kyrgyzstan – substantially increased the scope and coverage of HIV prevention activities.

Condom use is an important indicator of the effectiveness of existing HIV prevention education, policies and measures. The aim of this article is to review what is known about condom use levels and the prevalence of sexual risk practices in a variety of populations in central and eastern Europe.

Data resources for the current review

In order to collect data for this review, USA National Library of Medicine and National Institutes of Health electronic bibliographic resources (www.ncbi.n.m.nih.gov/pubmed)¹⁵ were utilised and searched for all possible peer-reviewed article citations related to HIV or AIDS risk behaviour research in post-communist countries in central and eastern Europe, and central Asia. All articles published between 2000 and 2010 (total = 380) were screened for a topical relevance, namely any reference to condom use in either their measures or the results descriptions in paper abstracts. Potentially relevant articles (n = 129) were then further screened for inclusion of specific behavioural data of interest, namely condom use in any population group within the region. Fifty-six articles resulted from this screening and were classified by study target population group. Table 1 lists these articles and describes the sampling frames of each study. All but three articles were published in English. Adolescents and young adults were most frequently studied (n = 18), followed by IDU (n = 10), men who have sex with men (MSM, n = 10), CSW (n = 8), people living with HIV (PLH, n=4), the Roma ethnic minority group (n=3), prisoners (n=2) and labour migrants (n=2). If multiple papers reported results from a single dataset, they were counted as a single paper. About one-third of all studies (n = 18) included in 36 Sexual Health Y. A. Amirkhanian

Table 1. Roster of studies included in the review IDU, injecting drug user; MSM, men who have sex with men; STI, sexually transmissible infections

<u> </u>	D. C.	G 1' C		p 1
Country in which data was collected	References	Sampling frame	n	Remarks
Adolescents and young	adults			
Region-wide	Godeau et al. ¹⁶	Cross-national, cross-sectional school probability sample	33 943	Age: 15. Only data from central and eastern European region was used
Croatia	Stulhofer <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷ , Bozicević <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸	National, multi-stage stratified probability household sample	1093	Age: 18–24
Poland	Woynarowska et al. 19	Cross-sectional school representative sample	2893	Age: 16–18
Poland	Woynarowska et al. ²⁰	Cross-sectional school representative sample	2152	Age: 15–16. Subset of data collected in 2002
Russia	Shorokhov et al. ²¹	First and second grade university student convenience sample	100	Age: not specified
Russia	Amirkhanian et al. ²²	Cross-sectional school representative sample		Age: 15–17
Hungary	Gyarmathy et al. ²³	Cross-sectional school representative sample	3486	Age: not specified, secondary school students
Russia	Bobrova et al.24	Random telephone sample	1203	Age: 15–29
Slovenia	Pinter et al. ²⁵	Cross-sectional school representative sample	1095	Age: 16–18
Hungary, Russia	Takacs et al. ²⁶	In-depth interviews; time-location sampling to access 'seeds' and their egocentric networks	66	Age: 19–21. Sample includes 12 egocentric networks
Croatia	Hirsl-Hećej & Stulhofer ²⁷	Cross-sectional school representative sample	4000	Age: 15–19
Slovenia	Pinter & Tomori ²⁸	National, cross-sectional school representative sample	4706	Age: 15–19
Georgia	Goodwin et al. ²⁹	Three-stage stratified probability sample	2880	Age: 14–17
Bulgaria, Hungary	Kelly et al. ³⁰	In-depth interviews; time-location representative sample	42	Age: 18–42. Study was conducted in Roma community
Kazakhstan	Hansson et al. ³¹	Cross-sectional school and university representative sample	600	Age: not specified; subsample medians varied from 17 to 20
Ukraine	Busza et al. ³²	Cross-sectional targeted and time- space convenience sample	805	Age: 10–19. Study was conducted among street adolescents
Russia	Kissin et al. ³³	Cross-sectional targeted and time- space representative sample	313	Age: 15–19. Study was conducted among street adolescents
Injection drug users				-
Russia	Niccolai et al. ³⁴	Respondent-driven sample	631	Sample includes both IDU and non-injection drug users
Estonia	Wilson et al.35	Time-location cross-sectional sample	266	
Russia	Abdala et al. ³⁶	Convenience sample	159	
Russia	Gyarmathy et al. ³⁷	Combined time-location and chain referral sample	661	IDU dyads
Russia	Somlai et al. ³⁸	Time-location representative sample	446	
Uzbekistan	Todd et al. ³⁹	Cross-sectional sample	701	
Ukraine	Booth et al. 40	Time-location representative sample	900	
Czech Republic	Bruchkova et al.41	Time-location cross-sectional representative sample	462	IDU subset only
Czech Republic	Mikl et al. ⁴²	Time-location cross-sectional representative sample	599	
Bulgaria	Vassilev et al. ⁴³	Cross-sectional targeted and time- location sample	773	
Female commercial sex	workers			
Estonia	Uusküla <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁴	Combined time-location and respondent-driven sample		
Latvia	Kurova et al. ⁴⁵	Cross-sectional street and club sample	107	In-depth interviews and laboratory STI and HIV tests
Serbia Lithuania	Ilić <i>et al.</i> ⁴⁶ Chaplinskas & Mårdh ⁴⁷	Cross-sectional street and club sample Cross-sectional street and club sample	191 73	

(Continued next page)

Table 1. (continued)

Country in which data was collected	References	Sampling frame	n	Remarks
Russia	Benotsch et al.48	Data subset of Somlai et al. ³⁸ study	100	Subset includes IDU engaged in commercial sex
Armenia	Markosyan et al.49	Convenience sample, three cities	98	
Turkmenistan	Chariyeva et al.50	Cross-sectional street and club sample	8	
Uzbekistan	Todd et al. ⁵¹	Combined, cross-sectional time-location and snowball convenience sample	448	
Men who have sex with		•		
Russia	Amirkhanian et al.54	Time-location representative sample	434	
Hungary	Csepe et al.55	Time-location representative sample	469	
Russia, Hungary	Amirkhanian et al. ⁵⁶	Time-location sampling to access 'seeds' and their sociocentric networks	156	Sample includes four sociocentric networks
Estonia	Tripathi et al.57	Cross-sectional convenience sample	79	
Slovakia	Staneková et al.58	Time-location sample	119	
Slovenia	Klavs et al. ⁵⁹	Venue-based convenience samples	Range: 68–124	2001–08 sentinel surveillance study
Croatia	Štulhofer et al.60	Snowball	342	HIV-negative MSM only
Russia	Kelly et al. ⁶¹	Data subset of Amirkhanian <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴ study	96	Subset includes MSM engaged in commercial sex
Russia	Kelly et al. ⁶²	Data subset of Amirkhanian <i>et al.</i> ⁵⁴ study	126	Subset includes MSM with female sex partners
Croatia	Kolarić et al. ⁶³	Combined venue and internet-based convenience sample	1127	•
Prisoners		•		
Hungary	Gyarmathy et al.65	Convenience sample	632	
Slovakia	Staneková et al.66	Convenience sample derived from HIV discussion group participants	75	
Labour migrants				
Croatia	Stulhofer et al. ⁶⁷	Time-location representative sample	566	Participants were recruited at medical examination clinics
Russia	Amirkhanian et al. ⁶⁸	Time-location representative sample	499	Participants were recruited at medical examination clinics
Roma (Gypsies)				
Bulgaria	Kabakchieva et al. ⁶⁹	Time-location sampling to access 'seeds' and their egocentric networks	296	Males only
Bulgaria	Kabakchieva et al.70	Time-location representative sample	324	Males only
Bulgaria	Kelly et al.30	Time-location representative sample	42	In-depth interviews
People living with HIV	•			•
Croatia	Zekan et al.71	Time-location representative sample	185	
Russia	Amirkhanian et al. ⁷²	Time-location representative sample	470	
Russia	Amirkhanian et al. ⁷³	Time-location representative sample	492	
Russia	Grau et al. ⁷⁴	Respondent-driven sample	157	HIV-positive IDU only

the review were based on research in Russia and much smaller proportions represented other countries. For nine countries, no results were found as an outcome of this search. In addition, the search identified regional HIV risk behavioural research review articles that were not directly used as data sources, although their findings were selectively used to support conclusions that resulted from the current research.

Condom use among at-risk populations

Adolescents and young adults

Heterosexual transmission now accounts for as much as 40% to 50% of all new HIV infections in Russia and other countries in

which IDU were primarily affected in the late 1990s and early 2000s. A large cross-European review study revealed that many adolescents had had sexual intercourse by the age of 15, from 19% of adolescents in Estonia to 47% in Ukraine. Even though condoms were reported to be the most common type of sexual protection, they were used at last intercourse at varying rates from 59% of the time by adolescents in Ukraine to 76% in Macedonia. A Croatian study among youth between the ages of 18 and 24 found that 41% of last intercourse events reported by men and 54% reported by women were unprotected. An alarmingly low rate of condom use at last intercourse was reported from Poland, where only between 11% and 27% of adolescents said that they used any kind of contraception.

38 Sexual Health Y. A. Amirkhanian

Rates of consistent condom use in adolescents and young adults were also low. Research findings showed that consistent condom use ranged from 7% in the Russian province city of Kostroma²¹ to 29% in St Petersburg;²² 40% in Budapest, Hungary;²³ and 44% in Moscow, Russia.²⁴ Oral contraceptives were also commonly used in the region, ^{16,25} indicating that a large proportion of young people view sexual protection as prevention against pregnancy rather than a measure to prevent HIV or STI.²⁶ A study among Croatian school adolescents surveyed in 1997 and 2001 revealed increases in condom use and consistency, particularly among females, although 40% still did not use condoms at last intercourse in the later sample.²⁷ A similar pattern of more frequently engaging in sex but also using more often condoms between the 1990s and 2000s was observed among school-aged adolescents in Slovenia. 25,28 There are certain geographic differences with respect to gender and engaging in sexual risk behaviour. For example, in the Republic of Georgia, 40% of 14- to 17-year-old males – and only 3% of females of the same age - were reported to be sexually experienced.²⁹ This pattern is likely to broadly characterise subregions such as the Trans-Caucasus, central Asia and also certain ethnic groups in central Europe, such as Roma, 30 with traditions strongly prohibiting females from premarital sexual engagements. In contrast, over half of 17-year-old Slovenian adolescents were sexually experienced.²⁵ Some studies found that the proportion of male youth paying for sex was as high as 30% in Kazakhstan.³¹ Qualitative research conducted in Hungary and Russia suggests that condom use declines quickly following first sex with a new partner, and that reintroducing condom use in a relationship is difficult.26

Street adolescents – including those orphaned, homeless or abandoned by their families, or those who left their homes for other reasons – are particularly vulnerable to HIV and STI. For example, only 20% of Russian street adolescents reported that they consistently used condoms, and extremely high proportions of participants in these samples were HIV-positive. Consistent with these findings, homelessness predicted HIV-positive serostatus among young IDU. Unlike in other at-risk populations, heterosexual HIV transmission often occurs in stable, regular relationships in which persons are often not aware of their partner's risk behaviour engagement and therefore do not perceive themselves as vulnerable.

Injection drug users

IDU are among the community populations most affected by HIV, particularly in former Soviet republics. HIV prevalence rates among IDU are as high as 45% in Estonia and Russia. ^{34,35} In these circumstances, condom use is the most important tool to prevent sexual transmission from IDU to their sexual partners. Thus, IDU are not only affected by HIV due to their own risky needle use practices, but also constitute a bridge to the non-IDU majority population in the former Soviet Union. Sharing needles and engaging in unprotected sex are common among IDU in this region, particularly in Russia. ^{34,36–38} Several studies among IDU have been conducted in St Petersburg. One found that 41% of IDU shared needles, 70% had

recently engaged in unprotected vaginal intercourse and most had multiple sex partners in the past 3 months. 38 Another study showed similar risk levels and also found that 44% of drug users engaged in sex with non-IDU partners.³⁶ Finally, a dyadlevel study found that most HIV serodiscordant IDU couples continued to have unprotected sex.³⁷ There has been no consistent pattern of findings related to the association between HIV-positive status and condom use. For example, studies have found a negative association between HIV infection and condom use among IDU in Uzbekistan,39 a positive association in Ukraine⁴⁰ and no association in an Estonian IDU sample.³⁵ This is probably because the transmission risk related to sharing needles over-rides the risk for contracting HIV during sex among current IDU. In contrast, younger age has been consistently found to predict HIV-positive serostatus among IDU across these studies. Overall, the issue of unprotected sex and condom use among IDU in post-Soviet countries has been much less frequently studied than IDU injection risk practices. This constitutes a significant gap in public health knowledge, given the high proportion of HIV infections attributable to sexual transmission from HIV-infected IDU to their sexual partners.

Although much lower HIV prevalence has been reported among IDU in countries outside of the former Soviet Union, such as 0.2% in Czech Republic^{41,42} and 0.5% in Bulgaria,⁴³ unprotected sex among IDU was common. This is an alarming indicator of the potential for HIV transmission from IDU to their sexual partners and beyond.

Commercial sex workers

The vulnerability of CSW has been well documented in a large number of studies. Injection drug use in eastern Europe is intertwined with commercial sex work, since selling sex is often a source of money used to pay for drugs when other sources are limited. Drug injection was reported by 8% of CSW in Estonia, 44 10% in Latvia, 45 15% in Serbia 46 and 25% in Lithuania. 47 In addition, a history of engaging in commercial sex was reported by 37% of female IDU in a sample in St Petersburg, Russia. 48

A general pattern of great HIV vulnerability has emerged from studies of CSW in central and eastern Europe. Inconsistent condom use by CSW has been commonly reported across studies. 45-47,49-51 Higher STI and HIV rates and more frequent unprotected sex particularly characterise street-based CSW, while indoor-based CSW are often more knowledgeable and use condoms more consistently. Street CSW in Turkmenistan reported use of condoms primarily when condom use was initiated by the client, and bar-based CSW regularly use condoms only with first-time clients. 50 However, their perception of HIV vulnerability was low. In contrast, a Serbian study⁴⁶ found greater risk knowledge and risk perception among indoor-based CSW, but low levels of HIV awareness - and low condom use - among street-based CSW. In addition, engaging in street-based sex work was a significant predictor of HIV-positive serostatus in a Uzbekistani study of CSW.⁵¹ In samples of CSW in Latvia and Lithuania, the prevalence of biologically-tested STI was extremely high, 45,47 and one-fifth of the Latvian study participants were pregnant.

Economic hardship, unemployment and poor living conditions were the main reasons for engaging in commercial sex among CSW in Latvia. Similar findings were reported from a Lithuanian sample. Alarmingly, a large proportion in the Lithuanian sample were trafficked women who had engaged in commercial sex for over 10 years. 47

Taking into account the high HIV prevalence rates among CSW in former Soviet countries, their clients and other sexual partners are also vulnerable. However, little research among the latter groups has been reported to date.

Men who have sex with men

HIV risk among central and eastern European MSM has not received adequate research attention. Condom use during anal intercourse is a primary indicator of risk level among MSM. This is because anal intercourse poses the greatest biological risk for HIV transmission from an infected to an uninfected partner, and also because the behaviour is widely practiced by MSM. In this respect, several risk behaviour patterns have emerged from the literature.

Although HIV risk knowledge and awareness are generally high among central and eastern European MSM, these are not directly translated to safer behaviours. Several barriers need to be addressed to facilitate the adoption of safer behaviour strategies. Among the most prominent barriers in the region are MSM-related stigma and discrimination, as these produce circumstances that make it difficult to sustain sexual safety.⁵ High rates of unprotected intercourse have been reported in various studies throughout the region, and MSM account for a majority of infections in the region's central and southern countries.⁵³ Only 43% of a Russian – and half of a Hungarian – sample of MSM reported using condoms consistently in the past 3 months. 54,55 Two-thirds of men in social network samples of Hungarian and Russian MSM reported that they recently engaged in unprotected sex.⁵⁶ Rates of condom use at last intercourse reported among various MSM samples include Russia (63%),⁵⁴ Estonia (59%),⁵⁷ Hungarian and Russian MSM networks (56%)⁵⁶ and Slovakia (29%).⁵⁸ However, these studies were conducted at different time points and do not show the dynamics of condom use over time. The only condom use dynamic estimates were reported in a sentinel surveillance study in Slovenia, where condom use at last intercourse declined from 81% in 2004 to 66% in 2008,⁵⁹ indicating an alarming need for additional HIV prevention efforts.

Decisions by MSM about whether condoms are to be used often depend on partner type. Greater levels of condom use are usually reported during sex with casual partners and much lower condom use with 'regular' partners. ⁵⁸ In a Hungarian sample, being in a steady relationship was associated with lower condom use rates. ⁵⁵ Participants in a Hungarian—Russian social network study indicated that 55% of their last anal intercourse was practiced with a casual partner. ⁵⁶ Eighty-one percent of MSM in a Croatian sample said that their most recent anal intercourse with a casual partner was protected, but only 56% reported consistently using condoms with casual partners in the past year. ⁶⁰

Other risk factors among MSM in the region include having multiple sex partners within a short timeframe. ⁵⁶ For example, 53% of participants in a Russian MSM sample and 25% of men in a Hungarian sample had sex with multiple partners in the past 3 months. ⁵⁵ This reflects frequent concurrent or short-term serial relationships. For example, Russian MSM reported that their median length of a sexual relationship was 10 months, but one-third of men in primary relationships had outside male partners and almost half had outside female partners in the past 3 months. ⁵⁴ Another risk factor of HIV risk among MSM in the region was engaging in commercial sex. For example, 23% of MSM in Russia reported that they sold sex, and 45% of them had recent unprotected anal intercourse. ^{54,61} However, the representativeness of these findings is unknown because there has been little research on commercial sex engagement among MSM in this world region.

Bisexual behaviour – a potential bridge to heterosexual virus transmission – has been commonly reported among MSM in the region, and the use of condoms among bisexual men is also inconsistent. Both bisexual identity and behaviour were commonly reported by MSM. In Estonia, half of an MSM sample reported being bisexual.⁵⁷ In a Russian sample, 29% of MSM had sex with partners of both genders in the past 3 months. In Hungary, 26% of MSM had female partners in the past year in addition to their male partners, and used condoms only 23% of the time with them.⁵⁵ A similar level of recent bisexual behaviour was reported in a Russian sample.^{54,62} In Croatia, only ~20% of behaviourally bisexual men used condoms consistently.⁶³

Finally, substance use has been commonly reported by MSM, often including illicit drugs. ^{54,56,57} Use of recreational drugs was greatly associated with engaging in unprotected sex. ⁵⁶ This was not found among Croatian MSM, ⁶³ although a very low response rate may have influenced sample validity.

Other impoverished community populations

Several other populations have been reported to be behaviourally vulnerable to HIV. For example, incarcerated persons are at elevated risk due to injecting drugs, engaging in unprotected sexual practices and sex in exchange for money or valuables, as well as using non-sterile methods of tattoo engraving and piercing. ^{64–66} Correctional facilities often lack provisions of and fail to provide clean needles and condoms. As the result, in countries such as Ukraine, HIV prevalence among prisoners became as high as 32%. ⁶⁴ The scale-up of prevention programs in correctional facilities, as well as HIV care provision, are needed in much of the region.

Public health concerns associated with labour migration have been documented worldwide. Russia is central and eastern Europe's leading destination country for international labour migrants, and is the entire world's second leading destination country. Migrants' risk is often related to their prolonged stays apart from their spouses and engaging in sex with casual partners, often with CSW. Croatian migrants, mostly seafarers and construction workers, reported multiple sex partners in the past year, and 45% of migrants said that their last intercourse with casual partners was unprotected. In a

40 Sexual Health Y. A. Amirkhanian

Russian study of labour migrants from former Soviet republics in central Asia and eastern Europe, one-third reported multiple sex partners in the past 3 months. Condom use rates were as low as 52% with casual partners and 35% with permanent sexual partners. Although the limited scope of research does not allow one to identify how representative these findings are, they indicate that labour migrants should be reached by STI and HIV intervention programs.

The Roma (Gypsy) people constitute the largest ethnic minority population in central and eastern Europe. Although Roma have not been widely affected by HIV to date, many social factors and risk behavioural data indicate their potentially high HIV vulnerability. Studies among Bulgarian Roma men found very high rates of high-risk behaviours. 69,70 Most men in a Roma community settlement sample in Sofia. Bulgaria, had multiple sexual partners in the past 3 months and 77% did not use condoms during their most recent vaginal intercourse.⁷⁰ Almost three-quarters of men in this study practiced anal sex with females, and only one in four of these acts were protected. Over one-quarter of Roma men in the sample reported engaging in sex with men at some point in addition to their heterosexual behaviour, and 10% did so in the past 3 months. Finally, 16% of Roma men sold and 32% paid for sex in the past 3 months. Distinct aspects of Roma HIV vulnerability are associated with gender roles and expectations.³⁰ As such, Roma men have great sexual freedom before and during marriage, and exercise relationship power and control. Roma women are expected to maintain virginity before marriage and then sexual exclusivity to their husbands. Gender power dynamics need to be taken into account in condom promotion and risk prevention programs among Roma.

People living with HIV

A limited body of research on condom use among PLH has been reported to date from the central and eastern European region. Only three studies – one from Zagreb, Croatia;⁷¹ and three from St Petersburg, Russia 72–74 – have systematically recruited crosssectional PLH samples from AIDS service provider settings. With respect to transmission risk behaviours, 20% of Croatian HIV-positive MSM reported unprotected intercourse with seronegative or unknown status partners in the past 6 months. and half of them reported unprotected intercourse with multiple casual partners of unknown status. Heterosexual PLH in the sample commonly reported unprotected sex with their regular partners. Only half of participants in an HIVpositive IDU sample from Russia used condoms at their last intercourse regardless of their partners' serostatus. 74 Two other Russian survey studies among PLH, one conducted in 2002⁷² and another in 2009, ⁷³ found high rates of unprotected sex by persons aware of their positive HIV status. Most PLH had sexual partners of seronegative or unknown status, with a mean of 5.8 partners. 72 In both studies, about a half of participants in the samples reported engaging in some unprotected intercourse, and a mean of 30% of intercourse acts were unprotected. The subsample which reported the greatest level of sexual transmission risk behaviour were HIV-positive IDU who are

also MSM, and the lowest level was reported by PLH who reported heterosexual HIV exposure.

Discussion

International calls for preventive action long emphasised the need for urgent measures, such as needle exchange and methadone treatment roll-out programs, to curb the HIV epidemic among IDU. While such measures were quickly introduced in some countries, others - primarily post-Soviet countries - delayed the initiation of funding and necessary policies. HIV prevention programs often lacked political support, were conducted on a limited scale or simply did not exist. Barriers to effective HIV prevention programs vary across the region. However, they often include lack of infrastructure dedicated for HIV prevention; political or religious opposition that often considers condom promotion or needle exchange as immoral; continued high stigmatisation of vulnerable groups that makes them hard to reach by community programs; and insufficient coverage of existing prevention programs. NGO funding in most countries is scarce and unstable, often relying on international donor agencies rather than national governments. 13

Initially fuelled by unsafe drug injection practices in post-Soviet countries, HIV growth is now becoming increasingly driven by sexual HIV transmission. In other parts of central and eastern Europe, HIV remains primarily characterised by sexual transmission. The findings reviewed here show that IDU often engage in unprotected sex, which illustrates that sexual partners of drug injectors are at substantial risk. Since IDU also often sell sex to buy drugs, clients of CSW are also are at high risk and constitute a bridge group to the general population. For these reasons, heterosexual risk exposure in central and eastern Europe has expanded beyond those who engage in commercial sex or inject drugs. Although the epidemic remains concentrated, HIV prevalence rates in Russia and Ukraine already exceed 1% of the general population.

The current review identified population groups and geographic areas that require the scaling up of condom promotion programs and expansion of their coverage to reduce the incidence of HIV and other STI. Of particular concern, the lowest rates of condom use were found among the most socially disadvantaged, low-income population groups, which suggests that, to be effective, HIV prevention programs need to be embedded in broader social development programs so to address the basic needs of the target population groups.

In many Western countries, MSM account for the greatest proportion of HIV infections. HIV prevalence among MSM in central and eastern Europe has not reached the very high prevalence levels typical of the West. However, taken together, the findings identified in this paper suggest that MSM in central and eastern Europe are in continuous need of high-impact prevention interventions. Programs to promote condom use among MSM need to scale up and to engage communities into this endeavour.

Highly active antiretroviral therapy roll-out has reduced HIV-related mortality rates, and increased life quality and

expectancy among infected persons. However, the longer lives, better health and continued sexual behaviour of HIV-infected individuals underscore the need for secondary prevention programs for them to reduce transmission to others. High levels of HIV transmission risk behaviours were found among PLH. Programs that address life, mental health, and social development needs of PLH must be implemented throughout the region. Conclusions resulting from the review of available literature call for immediate action:

- Condom promotion programs need to scale up to levels that reach vulnerable population groups, including those hard to access by conventional counselling methods.
- Programs to promote safer behaviours to curb HIV in communities need to be implemented and integrated with programs that address social and community development, poverty, social disadvantage, discrimination, human rights and social inequality.
- Infrastructure must be developed and appropriate financial resources should be allocated to provide effective and affordable public health services to prevent and treat HIV and STI, with a particular focus on specific health issues of women, MSM and other vulnerable groups.
- Research to further study the HIV prevention needs of vulnerable populations should be scaled up, and effective risk reduction programs should be developed and implemented.
- Political and public support should be given to overcome existing - and protect against future - obstacles to successful HIV prevention, and this should be advocated for.

Several limitations of this literature review must be noted. The analysis of data from the reviewed articles was not formally performed as a meta-analysis. This paper included the review only of peer-reviewed articles and did not include data that were published in forms such as non-peer reviewed conference abstracts, public reports and presentations. Some articles were not included in the review if they did not provide sufficient details or if it was not possible to interpret the presented data. Further research is needed to address these gaps. Other limitations were not under the control of the author. For example, the body of the identified literature lacked findings from several countries and therefore, the needs of their vulnerable populations were under-reported in the literature. The studies reviewed reflect self-reported data that often is subject to the typical bias for sexual behaviour survey studies. In addition, behavioural levels were assessed at different time points and may not necessarily reflect the current picture. Finally, some of the articles lacked sufficient description of the sampling methods, and a substantial proportion of studies relied on convenience or small samples. Findings from these studies should be interpreted with caution, since their generalisability is unclear.

Central and eastern Europe, which has experienced many political, economic and cultural transitions over the past two decades, is in a position to implement a large variety of effective measures to reduce HIV incidence. Comprehensive approaches have already been successfully implemented in some countries of the region, but are still needed in others. Political will is

needed to overcome policies that presently constrain effective HIV prevention approaches, limit opportunities for public education and produce stigma.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by grants R24-MH082471 and P30-MH52776 from the US National Institute of Mental Health. We thank the authors of the papers reviewed in the current research, and also Jeffrey A. Kelly, Allan C. Hauth, Ruzanna Aleksanyan and Anastasia G. Kuyanova for their assistance in manuscript preparation.

References

- 1 UNAIDS/WHO. Fact sheet 2009. Eastern Europe and Central Asia. Geneva: UNAIDS and WHO; 2009. Available online at: http://data. unaids.org/pub/FactSheet/2009/20091124_FS_eeca_en.pdf [verified February 2011].
- 2 Riedner G, Dehne KL, Gromyko A. Recent declines in reported syphilis rates in eastern Europe and central Asia: are the epidemics over? Sex Transm Infect 2000; 76: 363-5. doi:10.1136/sti.76.5.363
- 3 Tichonova L, Borisenko K, Ward H, Meheus A, Gromyko A, Renton A. Epidemics of syphilis in the Russian Federation: trends, origins, and priorities for control. Lancet 1997; 350: 210-3. doi:10.1016/ S0140-6736(97)01382-2
- UNAIDS/WHO. Epidemiological fact sheet on HIV/AIDS and sexually transmitted diseases in Russian Federation. Geneva: UNAIDS and WHO; 1998. Available online at: http://data.unaids. org/Publications/Fact-Sheets01/russianfederation_en.pdf February 2011].
- 5 Smolskaya T, Chaika N. HIV/AIDS surveillance in northwestern Russia. Paper presented at the 11th International Conference on AIDS; 1996, July 7-12; Vancouver, Canada.
- 6 European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDPC). Annual epidemiological report on communicable diseases in Europe 2010. Stockholm: ECDC; 2010. Available online at: http:// www.ecdc.europa.eu/en/publications/Publications/1011_SUR_ Annual_Epidemiological_Report_on_Communicable_Diseases_in_ Europe.pdf [verified April 2011].
- UNAIDS. UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic 2010. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2010. Available online at: http://www.unaids. org/globalreport/Global_report.htm [verified February 2011].
- Bozicevic I, Voncina L, Zigrovic L, Munz M, Lazarus JV. HIV epidemics among men who have sex with men in central and eastern Europe. Sex Transm Infect 2009; 85: 336-42. doi:10.1136/
- 9 World Health Organization (WHO). Integrating gender into HIV/ AIDS programmes in the health sector: tool to improve responsiveness to women's needs. Geneva: WHO; 2009. Available online at: http:// whglibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241597197 eng.pdf [verified April 2011].
- World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe (WHO Europe). Gender and HIV/AIDS in eastern Europe and central Asia. Parts I and II. Copenhagen: WHO Europe; 2004. Available online at: http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0019/76510/ E90383.pdf [verified May 2011].
- 11 UNAIDS. 2004 report on the global AIDS epidemic. 4th global report. Geneva: UNAIDS; 2004.
- 12 Kon IS. Better AIDS than sex education. The sexual counterrevolution in Russia. Paper at the 5th Congress of the European Federation of Sexology; 2000, 29 June-2 July; Berlin, Germany.

- 13 Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, Benotsch EG, Somlai AM, Fernandez MI, Brown KD, et al. HIV prevention nongovernmental organizations in central and eastern Europe: programs, resources, and challenges. Cent Eur J Pub Health 2004; 12: 12–18.
- 14 Spicer N, Aleshkina J, Bogdan D, Chkhatarashvili K, Harmer A, Murzalieva G, et al. Has the global fund strengthened civil society HIV advocacy in the former Soviet Union? A study in Georgia, Kyrgyzstan and Ukraine. Poster presented at the XVIII International AIDS Conference; 2010 July 18–23; Vienna, Austria.
- 15 U.S. National Library of Medicine/National Institutes of Health. PubMed.gov. Available online at: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed [accessed February 2011].
- 16 Godeau E, Gabhainn SN, Vignes C, Ross J, Boyce W, Todd J. Contraceptive use by 15-year-old students at their last sexual intercourse: results from 24 countries. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008; 162: 66–73. doi:10.1001/archpediatrics.2007.8
- 17 Stulhofer A, Graham C, Bozicević I, Kufrin K, Ajduković D. An assessment of HIV/STI vulnerability and related sexual risk-taking in a nationally representative sample of young Croatian adults. *Arch Sex Behav* 2009; 38: 209–25. doi:10.1007/s10508-007-9234-8
- 18 Bozicević I, Stulhofer A, Ajduković D, Kufrin K. Patterns of sexual behaviour and reported symptoms of STI/RTIs among young people in Croatia – implications for interventions' planning. *Coll Antropol* 2006; 30: 63–70.
- 19 Woynarowska B, Małkowska A, Tabak I. Sexual behaviour among adolescents aged 16- and 18-years in Poland in 2005]. Ginekol Pol 2006; 77: 667–77. [in Polish]
- 20 Woynarowska B, Izdebski Z, Kołoło H, Mazur J. [Sexual initiation and use of condoms and other methods of contraception among 15-year-old adolescents in Poland and other countries]. *Ginekol Pol* 2004; 75: 621–32.
- 21 Shorokhov SS, Poletaeva TA, Makarova LN. [The forms and analysis of the results of work in preventing HIV infection among youth]. Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol 1999; 1: 89–91. [in Russian]
- 22 Amirkhanian YA, Tiunov DV, Kelly JA. Risk factors for HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases among adolescents in St Petersburg, Russia. Fam Plann Perspect 2001; 33: 106–12. doi:10.2307/2673766
- 23 Gyarmathy VA, Thomas RP, Mikl J, McNutt LA, Morse DL, DeHovitz J, et al. Sexual activity and condom use among eastern European adolescents the study of Hungarian adolescent risk behaviours. Int J STD AIDS 2002; 13: 399–405. doi:10.1258/095646202760029822
- 24 Bobrova N, Sergeev O, Grechukhina T, Kapiga S. Social-cognitive predictors of consistent condom use among young people in Moscow. Perspect Sex Reprod Health 2005; 37: 174–8. doi:10.1363/3717405
- 25 Pinter B, Verdenik I, Grebenc M, Ceh F. Sexual activity and contraceptive use among secondary-school students in Slovenia. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care 2009; 14: 127–33. doi:10.1080/ 13625180802606101
- 26 Takács J, Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, Kirsanova AV, Khoursine RA, Mocsonaki L. "Condoms are reliable but I am not": A qualitative analysis of AIDS-related beliefs and attitudes of young heterosexual adults in Budapest, Hungary, and St Petersburg, Russia. Cent Eur J Public Health 2006; 14: 59–66.
- 27 Hirsl-Hećej V, Stulhofer A. Condom use and its consistency among metropolitan high school students in Croatia, 1997–2001: has anything changed? *Coll Antropol* 2006; 30: 71–8.
- 28 Pinter B, Tomori M. Sexual behavior of secondary-school students in Slovenia. Eur J Contracept Reprod Health Care 2000; 5: 71–6. doi:10.1080/13625180008500372

- 29 Goodwin RB, Kachkachishvili I, Nizharadze G, Cramer D. Psychological predictors of high-risk sexual behaviour and drug use among adolescents in Georgia. Curr HIV Res 2010; 8: 207–11. doi:10.2174/157016210791111115
- 30 Kelly JA, Amirkhanian YA, Kabakchieva E, Csepe P, Seal DW, Antonova R, et al. Gender roles and HIV sexual risk vulnerability of Roma (Gypsies) men and women in Bulgaria and Hungary: an ethnographic study. AIDS Care 2004; 16: 231–45. doi:10.1080/09540120410001641075
- 31 Hansson M, Stockfelt L, Urazalin M, Ahlm C, Andersson R. HIV/ AIDS awareness and risk behavior among students in Semey, Kazakhstan: a cross-sectional survey. BMC Int Health Hum Rights 2008; 8: 14. doi:10.1186/1472-698X-8-14
- 32 Busza JR, Balakireva OM, Teltschik A, Bondar TV, Sereda YV, Meynell C, et al. Street-based adolescents at high risk of HIV in Ukraine. J Epidemiol Community Health 2011, in press. doi:10.1136/ jech.2009.097469
- 33 Kissin DM, Zapata L, Yorick R, Vinogradova EN, Volkova GV, Cherkassova E, et al. HIV seroprevalence in street youth, St Petersburg, Russia. AIDS 2007; 21: 2333–40. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b0 13e3282f125b3
- 34 Niccolai LM, Shcherbakova IS, Toussova OV, Kozlov AP, Heimer R. The potential for bridging of HIV transmission in the Russian Federation: sex risk behaviors and HIV prevalence among drug users (DUs) and their non-DU sex partners. *J Urban Health* 2009; 86: 131–43. doi:10.1007/s11524-009-9369-0
- 35 Wilson TE, Sharma A, Zilmer K, Kalikova N, Uusküla A. The HIV prevention needs of injection drug users in Estonia. *Int J STD AIDS* 2007; 18: 389–91. doi:10.1258/095646207781024900
- 36 Abdala N, Krasnoselskikh TV, Durante AJ, Timofeeva MY, Verevochkin SV, Kozlov AP. Sexually transmitted infections, sexual risk behaviors and the risk of heterosexual spread of HIV among and beyond IDUs in St Petersburg, Russia. Eur Addict Res 2008; 14: 19–25. doi:10.1159/000110407
- 37 Gyarmathy VA, Li N, Tobin KE, Hoffman IF, Sokolov N, Levchenko J, et al. Unprotected sex in heterosexual partnerships of injecting drug users in St Petersburg, Russia. AIDS Behav 2011; 15: 58–64. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9721-0
- 38 Somlai AM, Kelly JA, Benotsch E, Gore-Felton C, Ostrovski D, McAuliffe T, et al. Characteristics and predictors of HIV risk behaviors among injection-drug-using men and women in St Petersburg, Russia. AIDS Educ Prev 2002; 14: 295–305. doi:10.1521/aeap.14.5.295.23873
- 39 Todd CS, Earhart KC, Botros BA, Khakimov MM, Giyasova GM, Bautista CT, et al. Prevalence and correlates of risky sexual behaviors among injection drug users in Tashkent, Uzbekistan. AIDS Care 2007; 19: 122–9. doi:10.1080/09540120600852150
- 40 Booth RE, Lehman WE, Dvoryak S, Brewster JT, Sinitsyna L. Interventions with injection drug users in Ukraine. *Addiction* 2009; 104: 1864–73. doi:10.1111/j.1360-0443.2009.02660.x
- 41 Bruckova M, Bautista CT, Graham RR, Maly M, Vandasova J, Presl J, et al. Short report: HIV infection among commercial sex workers and injecting drug users in the Czech Republic. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006; 75: 1017–20.
- 42 Mikl J, Brůcková M, Jedlicka J, Malý M, Vyslouzilová S, Douda I, et al. High prevalence of HIV risk-behavior and the identification of predictors for sharing injecting materials among young drug users in Prague, Czech Republic. Cent Eur J Public Health 2001; 9: 228–35.
- 43 Vassilev ZP, Hagan H, Lyubenova A, Tomov N, Vasilev G, Krasteva D, et al. Needle exchange use, sexual risk behaviour, and the prevalence of HIV, hepatitis B virus, and hepatitis C virus infections among Bulgarian injection drug users. Int J STD AIDS 2006; 17: 621–6. doi:10.1258/095646206778113041

- 44 Uusküla A, Fischer K, Raudne R, Kilgi H, Krylov R, Salminen M, et al. A study on HIV and hepatitis C virus among commercial sex workers in Tallinn. Sex Transm Infect 2008; 84: 189–91. doi:10.1136/ sti.2007.027664
- 45 Kurova T, Shoubnikova M, Malceva A, Mårdh PA. Prostitution in Riga, Latvia – a socio-medical matter of concern. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1998; 77: 83–6. doi:10.1080/00016349808565818
- 46 Ilić D, Sipetić S, Bjegović V. Risk of HIV infection among indoor and street sex workers and their use of health services in Belgrade, Serbia. Srp Arh Celok Lek 2010; 138: 219–24. doi:10.2298/SARH1004219I
- 47 Chaplinskas S, Mårdh PA. Characteristics of Vilnius street prostitutes. Int J STD AIDS 2001; 12: 176–80. doi:10.1258/0956462011916983
- 48 Benotsch EG, Somlai AM, Pinkerton SD, Kelly JA, Ostrovski D, Gore-Felton C, et al. Drug use and sexual risk behaviours among female Russian IDUs who exchange sex for money or drugs. Int J STD AIDS 2004; 15: 343–7. doi:10.1258/095646204323012850
- 49 Markosyan KM, Babikian T, DiClemente RJ, Hirsch JS, Grigoryan S, del Rio C. Correlates of HIV risk and preventive behaviors in Armenian female sex workers. AIDS Behav 2007; 11: 325–34. doi:10.1007/s10461-006-9147-x
- 50 Chariyeva Z, Colaco R, Maman S. HIV risk perceptions, knowledge and behaviours among female sex workers in two cities in Turkmenistan. Glob Public Health 2010; 18: 1–12.
- 51 Todd CS, Khakimov MM, Alibayeva G, Abdullaeva M, Giyasova GM, Saad MD, et al. Prevalence and correlates of human immunodeficiency virus infection among female sex workers in Tashkent, Uzbekistan. Sex Transm Dis 2006; 33: 496–501. doi:10.1097/01.olq.0000204624.26219.6a
- 52 Longfield K, Astatke H, Smith R, McPeak G, Ayers J. Men who have sex with men in southeastern Europe: underground and at increased risk for HIV/STIs. *Cult Health Sex* 2007; 9: 473–87. doi:10.1080/ 13691050601161864
- 53 Bozicevic I, Begovac J. The emerging HIV epidemic among men who have sex with men in southeastern Europe. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2010; 8: 1351–8. doi:10.1586/eri.10.131
- 54 Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, Kukharsky AA, Borodkina OI, Granskaya JV, Dyatlov RV, et al. Predictors of HIV risk behavior among Russian men who have sex with men: an emerging epidemic. AIDS 2001; 15: 407–12. doi:10.1097/00002030-200102160-00014
- 55 Csepe P, Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, McAuliffe TL, Mocsonoki L. HIV risk behaviour among gay and bisexual men in Budapest, Hungary. *Int J STD AIDS* 2002; 13: 192–200. doi:10.1258/ 0956462021924785
- 56 Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, Takacs J, Kuznetsova AV, DiFranceisco WJ, Mocsonaki L, et al. HIV/STD prevalence, risk behavior, and substance use patterns and predictors in Russian and Hungarian sociocentric social networks of men who have sex with men. AIDS Educ Prev 2009; 21: 266–79. doi:10.1521/aeap.2009.21.3.266
- 57 Tripathi A, Rüütel K, Parker RD. HIV risk behaviour knowledge, substance use and unprotected sex in men who have sex with men in Tallinn, Estonia. Euro Surveill 2009; 14: 19429.
- 58 Staneková D, Habeková M, Wimmerová S, Gramblicková I. HIV infection and sexual behaviour among homosexual and bisexual men in Bratislava. Cent Eur J Public Health 2000; 8: 172–5.
- 59 Klavs I, Bergant N, Kastelic Z, Lamut A, Kustec T. Disproportionate and increasing burden of HIV infection among men who have sex with men in Slovenia: surveillance data for 1999–2008. Euro Surveill 2009: 14: 19419.
- 60 Štulhofer A, Bačak V, Božičević I, Begovac J. HIV-related sexual risk taking among HIV-negative men who have sex with men in Zagreb, Croatia. AIDS Behav 2008; 12: 505–12. doi:10.1007/s10461-007-9327-3

- 61 Kelly JA, Amirkhanian YA, McAuliffe TL, Dyatlov RV, Granskaya J, Borodkina OI, et al. HIV risk behavior and risk-related characteristics of young Russian men who exchange sex for money or valuables from other men. AIDS Educ Prev 2001; 13: 175–88. doi:10.1521/ aean.13.2.175.19734
- 62 Kelly JA, Amirkhanian YA, McAuliffe TL, Granskaya JV, Borodkina OI, Dyatlov RV, et al. HIV risk characteristics and prevention needs in a community sample of bisexual men in St Petersburg, Russia. AIDS Care 2002; 14: 63–76. doi:10.1080/09540120220097946
- 63 Kolarić B, Bielen L, Gjenero-Margan I. Risk behaviors for getting HIV infection among the Croatian men who have sex with men. Coll Antropol 2008: 32: 687–91.
- 64 World Health Organization Europe. Prison health HIV, drugs and tuberculosis. Fact sheet. Copenhagen and Madrid: WHO Europe; 2009. Available online at: http://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/ pdf_file/0009/98973/92295E_FS_Prison.pdf [verified February 2011].
- 65 Gyarmathy VA, Neaigus A, Számadó S. HIV risk behavior history of prison inmates in Hungary. AIDS Educ Prev 2003; 15: 561–9. doi:10.1521/aeap.15.7.561.24048
- 66 Staneková D, Ondrejka D, Habeková M, Wimmerová S, Kucerková S. Pilot study of risk behaviour, voluntary HIV counselling and HIV antibody testing from saliva among inmates of prisons in Slovakia. Cent Eur J Public Health 2001; 9: 87–90.
- 67 Stulhofer A, Brouillard P, Nikolić N, Greiner N. HIV/AIDS and Croatian migrant workers. *Coll Antropol* 2006; 30: 105–14.
- 68 Amirkhanian YA, Kuznetsova AV, Kelly JA, Difranceisco WJ, Musatov VB, Avsukevich NA, et al. Male labor migrants in Russia: HIV risk behavior levels, contextual factors, and prevention needs. J Immigr Minor Health 2011, in press. doi:10.1007/s10903-010-9376-y
- 69 Kabakchieva E, Vassileva S, Kelly JA, Amirkhanian YA, DiFranceisco WJ, McAuliffe TL, et al. HIV risk behavior patterns, predictors, and sexually transmitted disease prevalence in the social networks of young Roma (Gypsy) men in Sofia, Bulgaria. Sex Transm Dis 2006; 33: 485–90. doi:10.1097/01.olq.0000204533.20324.56
- 70 Kabakchieva E, Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, McAuliffe TL, Vassileva S. High levels of sexual HIV/STD risk behaviour among Roma (Gypsy) men in Bulgaria: patterns and predictors of risk in a representative community sample. *Int J STD AIDS* 2002; 13: 184–91. doi:10.1258/0956462021924893
- 71 Zekan S, Novotny TE, Begovac J. Unsafe sexual behavior among HIV-infected patients in Croatia, 2006: prevalence and associated factors. AIDS Behav 2008; 12: 86–92. doi:10.1007/s10461-008-9420-2
- 72 Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, McAuliffe TL. Psychosocial needs, mental health, and HIV transmission risk behavior among people living with HIV/AIDS in St Petersburg, Russia. AIDS 2003; 17: 2367–74. doi:10.1097/00002030-200311070-00012
- 73 Amirkhanian YA, Kelly JA, Kuznetsova AV, Difranceisco WJ, Musatov VB, Pirogov DG. People with HIV in HAART-era Russia: transmission risk behavior prevalence, antiretroviral medication-taking, and psychosocial distress. AIDS Behav 2011; 15: 767–77. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9793-x
- 74 Grau LE, White E, Niccolai LM, Toussova OV, Verevochkin SV, Kozlov AP, et al. HIV disclosure, condom use, and awareness of HIV infection among HIV-positive, heterosexual drug injectors in St Petersburg, Russian Federation. AIDS Behav 2011. doi:10.1007/s10461-010-9775-z